## CHAPTER 12

## Fishes

## Steve Gutreuter and Charles Theiling

The Upper M ississippi and Illinois Rivers are central to the cultural heritage of N orth America. They have been important sources for subsistence, commerce, recreation, and even the subject of American literature. Throughout the rivers' short history of development and resource exploitation, the ecosystem has been managed for seemingly conflicting uses. M odification of the hydrology of the Upper M ississippi River System (UM RS) and conversion of its floodplain to other uses has had an impact on fish habitat in many ways. Despite the great historic importance of the rivers and their fishes, information critical to fisheries management is scarce. The available data and literature reviewed here must be extrapolated and interpreted with caution.

Fisheries management on the UM RS is critical because, among biotic resources, fishes support the greatest number of commercial and recreational uses. In 1982, UM RS fisheries provided more than 8.5 million activity days of sport fishing that generated more than $\$ 150$ million (\$234 million in 1995 dollars) in direct expenditures (Fremling et al. 1989). In a 1990 recreational-use survey, fishing accounted for almost 29 percent of reported activity, providing economic benefits of almost $\$ 350$ million in 1990 dollars (USACE 1993). The value of commercial fisheries
between 1978 and 1991 was set at between \$2 and \$2.4 million annually (Upper M ississippi River Conservation Committee [UM RCC], Rock Island, Illinois, 1978-1991 Annual Reports). These figures suggest that a decline in prey, sport, or commercial fishes of the UM RS would be detrimental to recreational and regional economies. As a result, it is important to detect negative population trends as they occur so remedial actions can be considered.

## Surveying Upper Mississippi River System Fish Populations

The five UM RS States and Federal agencies have monitored the fish populations to varying degrees since surveys began in the late 1800 s on the Illinois River. H owever, historically these surveys lacked consistent sampling standards needed to interpret their results together.

The State of $M$ innesota has continuous annual survey data for Lake Pepin sauger and walleye populations that extend back to 1965 . Illinois has been electrofishing consistently at 33 permanent stations along 581 miles ( 935 km ) on the M ississippi River since 1976 and intermittently at 27 stations on the Illinois R iver since 1957. Iowa has sampled target species since 1985 in three pools and conducted management-oriented research. $O$ ver the decades, Wisconsin and $M$ issouri have focused most of their efforts

Fisheries management on the UMRS is critical because, among biotic resources, fishes support the greatest number of commercial and recreational uses.

The Mississippi is special among large rivers of the temperate zones in that it supports an unusually large number of fish species. At least 260 freshwater species have been reported from the Mississippi River Basin.
on management-oriented research. A commercial fish catch database has been maintained by the UM RCC since the 1950s. Prior commercial fish data also are available.

Fishery managers have long recognized the need for comprehensive standardized data collection. In 1990, the Long Term Resource M onitoring Program (LTRM P) began highly standardized monitoring in six intensively studied segments of the UM RS. Increased consensus over monitoring needs and better cooperation among State and Federal agencies eventually will provide sound information for better systemic management on the UM RS.

## Species Numbers and Diversity

The fishes of the M ississippi River are an extraordinary biological resource. The $M$ ississippi is special among large rivers of the temperate zones in that it supports an unusually large number of fish species. At least 260 freshwater species have been reported from the Upper M ississippi River Basin (Fremling et al. 1989). For comparison, based on data from Welcomme (1979), the UM RS supports approximately as many fish species as the Paranà River of tropical South America, which drains a similarly large basin, and far more species than the temperate Volga or Danube Rivers in Europe. Historically, approximately 150 species of fish have been reported from the UM R S (Fremling et al. 1989; Pitlo et al. 1995). Although many species are quite rare and 60 species are occasional strays from adjoining tributaries, this diversity stands in stark contrast to M idwestern lakes, which often contain fewer than 15 species. This exceptional diversity of fishes makes the M ississippi River one of the nation's greatest ecological treasures.

The presence of so many fish species can be attributed to two circumstances. First, the M ississippi River system is physically com-
plex with a wide range of aquatic areas (i.e., channels, backwater lakes) that in turn provide a wide array of habitats for fishes (Welcomme 1979). The M ississippi River supports many relatively recent fish species such as shiners, redhorses, darters, and sunfishes (e.g., bluegill, pumpkinseed, green sunfish) whose presence dates back 30 million years or less. M any of these are habitat specialists that require particular conditions (Fremling et al. 1989). For example, black basses, crappies, and sunfishes probably originated in floodplain drainage systems (Cavender 1986; Cross et al. 1986) and, to thrive in the UM RS, require lake-like backwaters. Second, the general north-south orientation of the M ississippi River provided a corridor for escape and recolonization during glacial advances and retreats (H ynes 1970). This fact no doubt allowed many fish species to persist through the glacial advances. Very ancient species such as sturgeons, paddlefish, gars, bowfin, some minnows, and buffalo fishes (Figure 12-1; M iller 1965) still can be found in the Upper M ississippi.

Despite the continued presence of many fish species, their abundance, size, and distribution may have changed as a result of human activity. For example, after navigation dams were constructed, Fremling and Claflin (1984) reported increased abundance of lentic species (bluegills, largemouth bass). Conversely, fish movement of many species throughout the system has been impeded by the same dams.

## Current Status

The LTRM P provides key data that document patterns in the number of fish species (species richness) in the UM RS. During the first five years of the program, 127 species were documented using standardized monitoring. That figure is a minimal estimate because some rare fish are extremely difficult to detect.

Fish species richness tends to vary
between the northern and southern reaches of the river (Figure 12-2). Pool 8 in the northern reach had the highest species richness, closely followed by Pool 4, also in the northern sector. W hile species richness was slightly greater in the northern reaches, the four other study areas had similar species richness. Habitat patterns in Pool 8 consist of a diverse mix of floodplain features that include mazes of braided side channels and backwaters. In contrast, channel and flood management strategies in the lower pooled reaches and particularly the Unimpounded


Figure 12-1. The paddlefish is an ancient species that has persisted in the Upper Mississippi River System (Source: National Marine Fisheries Service, Woods Hole, Massachusetts).

Figure 12-2. Long
Term Resource Monitoring Program sampling indicates that the northem part of the Mississippi River tends to support slightly more fish species than does the Unimpounded Reach or La Grange Pool of the Illinois River. Apparent increasing trends in species numbers are produced by increasing sampling effort in most study reaches and should not be interpreted as a real increase in the numbers of fish species present.

Figure 12-3. This young shovelnose sturgeon displays the unique bony scales and bottom dwelling habits of sturgeons
(Source U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Onalaska, Wisconsin).


Figure 12-4. This 6foot sturgeon caught near Muscatine, lowa, shows the size lake sturgeon once achieved in the Upper Mississippi River System. Such large specimens are currently very rare in the waterway (Source: Musser Public Library, Muscatine, lowa).

Reach from St. Louis, M issouri, to C airo, Illinois, have caused the loss of side-channel and backwater areas. The greater physical complexity of the Upper Impounded Reach of the UM RS may explain the higher species richness. This north-south variance in species richness also suggests that the key to maintaining this unusual biological resource may be to preserve the physical complexity of the river.

No evidence of a recent decline in species richness exists (Figure 12-2), and overall, little evidence to suggest a substantial net loss of species in the system since the 1800s. H owever, human alterations including management for commercial navigation, flood control, municipal and industrial waste, and agriculture have had consequences for the distribution and abundance of particular species.

## Spatial Distribution of Selected Riverine Species

Riverine fishes usually occur in mainchannel and side-channel habitats. They are streamlined in shape (e.g., walleye, white bass) or exhibit bottom-dwelling behavior (e.g., sturgeons, buffalo fishes, catfishes) that shelters them from the fastest flow in the channel. M any riverine species are economically important or serve as indicators of change in the system.

Shovelnose (Figure 12-3), pallid, and lake sturgeon are characteristic of the deep channels of large rivers. Pallid sturgeon once were important to commercial fisheries because of their large size compared to shovelnose sturgeon. This species now is rare and listed as endangered by Iowa, Illinois, M issouri, and the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Table 12-1; Pitlo et al. 1995; Duyvejonck 1996). O nly three pallid sturgeon have been collected by the LTRM P since 1989 and those all in the Unimpounded Reach.

Lake sturgeon, once abundant in the river (Figure 12-4), have been present but uncommon in LTRM P samples. Presently they are protected or sufficiently rare to merit special concern in all five UM RS states (Table 12-1; Johnson, 1987; Pitlo et al. 1995; Duyvejonck 1996). M issouri recently initiated a lake sturgeon stocking program in an effort to increase their abundance.

The shovelnose sturgeon is the most abundant of sturgeon species. It is commercially and recreationally fished in some states but listed as a species of concern in others. Although catch rates are low, the LTRM P has on average detected shovelnose in all study reaches except La Grange Pool of the Illinois River (Figure 12-5). Total catch data suggests the abundance of this species might be increasing in much of the Upper M ississippi River.

Two riverine species, sauger and wall-

Table 12-1. Fish listed by Federal and Upper Mississippi River System State agencies as threatened, endangered, or species of special concem in the Mississippi River main stem (Source: Duyvejondk 1096).

| Fish Species | Federal | M N | WI | IA | IL | M 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama shad |  |  |  |  |  | R |
| Alligator gar |  |  |  |  | T | R |
| American eel |  |  | R |  |  |  |
| Bigeye shiner |  |  |  |  | E |  |
| Blacknose shiner |  |  |  | T | E | R |
| Blue sucker |  | SC | T |  |  | WL |
| Blue catfish |  | SC |  |  |  |  |
| Bluntnose darter |  | SC | E | E |  |  |
| Brown bullhead |  |  |  |  |  | R |
| Burbot |  |  |  | T |  |  |
| Central mudminnow |  |  |  |  |  | E |
| Chestnut lamprey |  |  |  | T |  |  |
| Crystal darter |  | SC | E |  |  | E |
| Flathead chub |  |  |  |  |  | E |
| Freckled madtom |  |  |  | E |  |  |
| G host shiner |  |  | EX |  |  | WL |
| Goldeye |  |  | E |  |  |  |
| Grass pickerel |  |  |  | T |  |  |
| Gravel chub |  | SC | E |  |  |  |
| Greater redhorse |  |  | T |  | E |  |
| Highfin carpsucker |  |  |  |  | R |  |
| Iowa darter |  |  |  |  | R |  |
| Lake sturgeon |  | SC | R | E | E | E |
| Longear sunfish |  |  | T |  |  |  |
| M ississippi silvery minnow |  |  |  |  |  | WL |
| M ooneye |  |  |  |  |  | R |
| M ud darter |  |  | SC |  |  |  |
| $N$ orthern pike |  |  |  |  |  | R |
| O rangethroat darter |  |  |  | T |  |  |
| Ozark minnow |  |  | T |  |  |  |
| Paddlefish |  | SC | T |  |  | WL |
| Pallid shiner |  | SC | E | R | E | EX |
| Pallid sturgeon | E |  |  | E | E | E |
| Pearl dace |  |  |  | E |  |  |
| Pirate perch |  |  |  | SC |  |  |
| Pugnose minnow |  | SC | SC | SC |  | WL |
| Pugnose shiner |  |  | SC | E | E |  |
| Redfin shiner |  |  | T |  |  |  |
| River darter |  |  |  |  |  | WL |
| River redhorse |  | R | T |  | T |  |
| Shovelnose sturgeon |  | SC |  |  |  |  |
| Sicklefin chub | 1 |  |  |  |  | R |
| Silver jaw minnow |  |  |  |  |  | WL |
| Skipjack herring |  |  | E |  |  |  |
| Speckled chub |  |  | T |  |  |  |
| Starhead topminnow |  |  | E |  |  |  |
| Sturgeon chub | 1 |  |  |  | E | R |
| Trout-perch |  |  |  | R |  | R |
| Weed shiner |  |  | SC | E |  |  |
| Western sand darter |  |  | SC | T | E | WL |
| Yellow base |  | SC |  |  |  |  |

Key: 1 = Federal candidate species, $\mathrm{E}=$ endangered, $\mathrm{EX}=$ extirpated from state, $\mathrm{R}=$ rare, $\mathrm{SC}=$ special concern, $\mathrm{T}=$ threatened, WL = watch list

Figure 12-5. Total catch-per-unit-effort + 1 (catch +1) of shovelnose sturgeon in Long Term Resource Monitoring Program study reaches between 1990 and 1994 increased gradually as sampling effort increased, except in La Grange Pool on the Illinois River where sturgeon were not detected. Reduced catches during 1993 were due to reduced sampling efforts and probable fish redistribution during extreme flooding. Note the logarithmic scale on the left axis of each graph does not start with 0.

Shovelnose Sturgeon Catch-Per-Unit-Effort



Figure12-6. The walleye is a popular gamefish found in channel habitats. (Source: U. S.
Fish and Wildifife Service, Onalaska, Wisconsin).
eye, are highly prized by anglers and support important recreational fisheries in the Upper M ississippi River, particularly in riverine channels. The larger walleye (Figure 12-6) is less tolerant of turbidity (Pflieger 1975) and confines itself to the river's northern pools. Sauger, distributed throughout the UM RS, are most abundant in flowing channels, particularly along wing dams and in the tailwaters below the locks and dams. The abundance of sauger is much the same among LTRM P study reaches (except in the Unimpounded Reach) and increased substantially during

Sauger Catch-Per-Unit-Effort

the period from 1990 to 1994 (Figure 12-7).
Consistently rated among the four mostabundant commercial species, catfishes also rate high among anglers (Figure 12-8). $M$ any species of catfish live in the UM RS; some (madtoms) are found in swift, flowing habitats while others (channel catfish) are more widely distributed in channel and backwater habitats. The channel catfish is the most abundant species, but many anglers actively seek the larger flathead catfish and blue catfish. The LTRM P sampling shows channel catfish populations have remained generally steady throughout the UM RS (Figure 12-9).


Figure 12-8. Channel catfish are among the most common species caught on the Upper Mississippi River System (Source: Richard Whitney, Leavenworth, Washington).

Figure 12-9. Catch-per-unit-effort for Long Term Resource Monitoring Program hoop net sampling shows channel catfish abundance evenly distributed and populations maintained at a steady level throughout the Upper Mississippi River System. For hoopnets, CPE is the number of fish captured per net per day. Wide fluctuations in abundance from La Grange Pool are attributed to lowwater sampling when fish become concentrated in channel areas; some catches exceeded 1,500 young-of-theyear and 1+aged fish.

Channel Catfish Catch-Per-Unit-Effort


Wide fluctuations in abundance in La G range Pool are attributed to low-water sampling when fish become concentrated in channel areas and the fact that some catches exceeded 1,500 young-of-the-year and 1+aged fish (K evin Irons, Illinois N atural H istory Survey, H avana, Illinois, personal communication).

Smallmouth buffalo (Figure 12-10) are another important riverine species that together with other buffalo species, rank among the top four commercial species (Duyvejonck 1996). These members of the sucker family live and feed near the bottom of the main and side channels, consuming a
variety of macroinvertebrates. Becker (1983) suggests this species may require flooded terrestrial areas for spawning. The abundance of smallmouth buffalo, as measured by hoop netting, showed no statistically significant trends nor differences among LTRM P study reaches. H ow ever, catch rates increased during 1994 in Pools 8,13 , and 26 of the $M$ ississippi River and La Grange Pool of the Illinois River, probably in response to extreme flooding the previous summer.

White bass are a recreationally important schooling predator (Figure 12-11). In
the M ississippi River drainage they occur from central M innesota to the Gulf of M exico (Scott and Crossman 1973; Pflieger 1975). The LTRM P electrofishing does not indicate any strong spatial or temporal trends, but the species is slightly more abundant in the lower three M ississippi River study reaches (Gutreuter 1997).

The blue sucker, a once-important commercial fish found in fast-flowing reaches (Carlander 1954), now is a species of concern in three UM RS states (Table 12-1; Johnson 1987; Pitlo et al. 1995; Duyvejonck 1996). This striking bluishcolored riverine fish (Figure 12-12) is adapted to life in deep and swift channels. Blue suckers persist in the Upper M ississippi River and have been detected in all LTRM P study reaches except La Grange Pool of the Illinois River. The decline from harvestable stocks to the present rare status may indicate an important change in habitat conditions, probably related to navigation improvements.

## Importance of Backwater H abitats

M any fishes that depend on lake-like backwaters (especially black bass, crappie, and sunfish) are ecologically and economically important. Bluegills (Figure 12-13) are prized by anglers and also represent this important ecological component of the UM RS. The LTRM P data suggest that the abundance of bluegills in Pools 4, 8, and 26


Figure 12-10. The smallmouth buffalo is an important commercial species (Source: Charles Purkett, J efferson, Missouri).

Figure 12-11. White bass are channeldwelling game fish (Source: William Pflieger, Ashland, Missouri).


Figure 12-12. Blue suckers (young-of-theyear shown above) once were plentiful on the river but now are rare because of channel modifications (Source Mike Peterson, Missouri Department of Conservation, Cape Girardeau, Missouri).


Figure 12-13. The bluegill is one of the most popular sport fish on the Upper Mississippi River System (Source: New Hampshire Department of Inland Fisheries, Concord, New Hampshire).

Figure 12-14. Catch-per-unit-effort (CPE) from Long Term Resource Monitoring Program electrofishing data suggests bluegill abundance in Pools 4, 8, and 26 of the Mississippi River and La Grange Pool of the Illinois River either are without obvious trend or have increased from 1990 to 1994. (Electrofishing CPE measures the number of fish captured in $\mathbf{1 5}$ minutes of sampling effort.) Data further indicates evidence of a decline in abundance in Pool 13 and the Open River (Unimpounded Reach). Differences in abundance among these six study reaches suggest that habitat conditions may be more important than recent trends. However, mean relative bluegill abundance from the Open River study reach typically is less than one-third of the values from the other reaches; abundance also tends to be lower in Pool 26 than in Pools 4, 8, and 13. All Illinois River centrachid species show large year classes in 1991.

Bluegill Catch-Per-Unit-Effort


floodplain in La Grange Pool of the Illinois River and Pools 4, 8 , and 13 of the M ississippi River than in Pool 26 and especially in the Unimpounded Reach. The LTRM P data provide circumstantial evidence that the abundance of important centrarchids in some areas of the Upper M ississippi may be limited by the availability of suitable backwater habitat. Water-level fluctuations also may contribute to the patterns of abundance of these backwaterdependent species. Such fluctuations tend to be greatest in the Unimpounded Reach and least in Pool 8 (Burkhardt et al. 1997), and tend to increase from Pool 2 to Pool

Figure 12-16. Catch-per-unit-effort (CPE) from Long Term Resource Monitoring Program electrofishing data suggests that the abundance of black crappie is without obvious temporal trend from 1990 to 1994. (Electrofishing CPE measures the number of fish captured in $\mathbf{1 5}$ minutes of sampling effort.) Significant regional differences are evident. Pool 4 near the species' northem range limit Pool 26, and the Open River (Unimpounded Reach) with few backwaters have much lower catch rates than other reaches.



Figure 12-17. The gizzard shad is an abundant prey species (Source: American Fisheries Society).

26 (W losinski and Hill 1995). This means the abundance of these backwater-dependent species is inversely related to waterlevel fluctuations. H owever, water-level fluctuations and geographic latitude are comparable in Pool 26 and La Grange Pool of the Illinois River (Burkhardt et al. 1997), suggesting this factor alone cannot explain abundance of these species. M ore research is needed to assess the importance of available backwaters, water-level fluctuations, and other critical features of habitat. In addition, cost-effective ways to maintain and improve habitat quantity and quality must be identified.

Table 12-2. Key features of the floodplain and aquatic area compositions (in ha) of the Long Term Resource Monitoring Program study reaches. Aquatic area is that portion of the floodplain which is inundated at normal water elevations.

Floodplain composition (\%) Aquatic area composition (\%)

|  | Floodplain <br> area | O pen <br> water | Aquatic <br> vegetation | Agriculture | Contiguous <br> backwater* | M ain <br> channel |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study reach | 28,358 | 50.5 | 10.0 | 12.1 | 21.3 | 10.5 |
| Pool 4 | 19,068 | 40.1 | 14.4 | 0.9 | 30.6 | 14.2 |
| Pool 8 | 35,528 | 29.7 | 8.6 | 27.9 | 28.5 | 24.7 |
| Pool 13 | 51,688 | 13.4 | 1.4 | 65.4 | 17.3 | 54.4 |
| Pool 26 | 105,244 | 9.9 | 0.6 | 71.5 | 0.0 | 79.0 |
| Unimpounded Reach | 15.7 | 2.2 | 59.6 | 52.2 | 21.3 |  |
| La Grange Pool, Illinois River | 89,554 |  |  |  |  |  |

*Total area fitting criteria (Wilcox 1993) excluding impounded areas and tributary delta lake (Lake Pepin, Pool 4); this area excludes all secondary and tertiary channels.

## Prey Species

Gizzard shad and emerald shiners are two important prey species in the UM RS. Bertrand (1995) cited studies reporting that shad (Figure 12-17) composed 62 percent of the food (by volume) in largemouth bass stomachs, 73 percent in white crappie, 76 percent in black crappie, and 55 percent in sauger. The LTRM P data for gizzard shad show significantly higher abundance of gizzard shad in the Pool 26, Unimpounded, and La Grange Pool reaches, especially during the 1993 flood year. The LTRM P data show that emerald shiners are somewhat more abundant in northern reaches and overall abundance declined slightly from 1990 through 1994. A consistent pattern of greater numbers in the pooled reaches versus open river reaches is evident through time (Forbes and Richardson 1920; Bertrand 1995).

## Exotic Species

Exotic (nonnative) species helped shape the current conditions of $M$ ississippi River fisheries. The common carp, native to rivers of Europe and Asia, was first detected in the M ississippi River in 1883 (Figure 12-18; Cole 1905). Presently this is the most
important exotic species in the system, comprising most of the commercial harvest (Kline and Golden 1979; Fremling et al. 1989) and being the dominant species in the Upper M ississippi (Gutreuter 1992). Coinciding with the dramatic increase in the abundance of common carp, commercial catches of native buffalo fishes, which are ecologically similar, declined by approximately 50 percent (Kline and Golden 1979). A bundance of common carp in all LTRM P study reaches increased markedly over the period of 1990 to 1994, but this species tended to be less abundant in the Unimpounded Reach than elsewhere (Figure 12-19, following page).

Figure 12-18. The common carp has become the most common fish in commercial catches since its introduction in the late 1800s (Source: New Hampshire Department of Inland Fisheries, Concord, New Hampshire).

Figure 12-19.
Abundance of common carp as illustrated by catch-per-unit-effort (CPE) in all Long Term Resource Monitoring Program study reaches increased markedly over the period of 1990 to 1994, but this species tends to be less abundant in the Open River (Unimpounded Reach) than elsewhere. (Electrofishing CPE measures the number of fish captured in 15 minutes of sampling effort).

## Common Carp Catch-Per-Unit-Effort



O ther large members of the minnow family invaded the M ississippi River more recently. The bighead carp is native to eastern Europe and Asia and was introduced into $N$ orth A merica by aquaculturalists. The LTRM P first detected this species in Pool 26 during 1991 and in the Unimpounded Reach during 1992 (Tucker et al. 1996). As of 1996, the LTR M P had not detected this species elsewhere. Although bighead carp were present in LTR M P catches from 1990 through 1994 (Gutreuter 1997), sampling gear used by the LTRM P is not effective in capturing this species. Commercial fishers
report that bighead carp have become common since 1992 and often are found in close association with paddlefish (Fred Cronin, LTRM P Field Station, Illinois N atural H istory Survey, Alton, Illinois, personal communication). It should be noted that the potential increased abundance of bighead carp could be detrimental to native fish species because this exotic plankton feeder competes with larval fishes and the adults of some native species that rely on zooplankton for food.

A nother exotic species, grass carp, is a large herbivore of the minnow family intentionally imported from Asia in 1963 (Pflieger
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the Upper
Mississippi
River have long been important to the peoples who inhabit the Midwest, including preColumbian mound builders of the Marion and Mississippian cultures.

## Change Over Time

Fishes of the Upper M ississippi River have long been important to the peoples who inhabit the M idwest, including pre-Columbian mound builders (Ward 1903) of the M arion and M ississippian cultures (H oops 1993). These peoples carved effigies of $M$ ississippi River fishes, indicating the importance of this natural resource (Calvin 1893). Father M arquette, the Jesuit explorer of the 1670s reported the existence of "monstrous fish," including one that struck a canoe violently. Father Anastasius Douay, who traveled with La Salle in 1687, wrote that the rivers of the M ississippi Basin were so full of fish that members of the expedition were able to capture them with their bare hands. Thomas Jefferson foresaw the eventual importance of the M ississippi River when he wrote, "The M ississippi will be one of the principal channels of future commerce for the country westward of the Allegheny [and] yields perch, trout, gar, pike, mullets, herrings, carp, spatula fish of fifty pound weight, catfish of one hundred pounds weight, buffalo fish, and sturgeon" (J efferson 1854).

Commercial fishing has been important to residents of the M ississippi River Basin at least since the mid-1800s. Commercial fishing was well established in Q uincy, Illinois, by 1869 (Redmond 1869), and J. P. Walton (1893) reported on the abundance of buffalo fish near M uscatine Island in 1842. H owever, nav-igation-not fisheries-has been the primary goal for management of the M ississippi River. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has been responsible for alterations to the M ississippi River to support navigation since the first channel surveys were authorized in 1824. Commercial fisheries of the Upper M ississippi River likely were changed by these navigation improvements and other anthropogenic influences. The existence of such long-term changes is based on anecdotal or circumstantial evidence because commercial catches were not recorded systemically until 1953 when the

UM RCC coordinated the effort (UM RCC 1953-1995). Fishes of the Upper M ississippi River System were not monitored using standardized methods until the advent of the Long Term Resource M onitoring Program sampling in 1990 (Gutreuter 1997).

Anecdotally, we know the blue sucker once was an important commercial species in fast-flowing areas of the M ississippi River but virtually disappeared by 1926. This species also was believed to be abundant in the K eokuk Rapids. Catches were reported to dwindle, however, after about 1910 and completion of the Keokuk Dam (now Lock and Dam 19) in 1913 (Carlander 1954).

The system of locks and dams above St. Louis, M issouri, also had consequences for other fishes, particularly the skipjack herring. The skipjack herring is a highly mobile species once persistent in all reaches of the M ississippi River except the headwaters. Presently this species persists only in the lower reaches (Fremling et al. 1989). Skipjack herring were reported to be abundant during the 1860s in Lake Pepin, a natural lake formed by the delta at the confluence of the Chippewa and M ississippi Rivers in what is now Pool 4 (Carlander 1954). M ore recently, Becker (1983) listed skipjack herring as extinct from Wisconsin waters, attributing the decline of this species to the locks and dams. Other mobile riverine fishes that may have been affected adversely by the locks and dams (particularly the Keokuk Dam) include sturgeons, paddlefish, and American eel (Duyvejonck 1996).
$M$ ore recent data also indicate that the dams impede the movement of fishes. W losinski and $M$ aracek (unpublished data) compiled information from 126 different telemetry and mark/recapture studies to determine the impact of navigation dams on fish movement between pools. They found that 87 percent of the total 5,253 fish recaptured did not move from the pool
where they were captured, 8 percent moved upriver, and 5 percent moved downriver (Figure 12-21). N o black crappie, white crappie, bluegill, northern pike, or common carp were found outside the original pool. Species that showed interpool movement included channel catfish, freshwater drum, flathead catfish, largemouth bass, paddlefish, sauger, shovelnose sturgeon, smallmouth bass, walleye, and white bass. M ost fish moved through dams during open-river conditions when head differentials were less than 1 foot ( 0.3 m ). Skipjack herring reinvaded the uppermost pools of the M ississippi River during the Flood of 1993 (Figure 12-22, following page), when dam gates were held wide open and the river attained free-flowing conditions that allowed upriver passage through the dams. This demonstrates that although the locks and dams have altered the Upper M ississippi River, highly mobile fish like skipjack herring can exploit the occasional opportunity to move upriver.

Impounded aquatic areas immediately above the locks and dams superficially resemble storage reservoirs and are included in the LTRM P definition of backwaters (Wilcox 1993). This has led to speculation that impoundment has benefited backwaterdependent species like the centrarchids (Fremling and Claflin 1984). However, these areas do not seem to function as lakelike backwaters. Impounded areas typically are shallow environments strongly influenced by wind and waves. Recent studies indicate that sediments in impounded areas are similar to sediment in the channel borders (Rogala 1996) and do not resemble the fine sediments in deep backwaters. Similarly, fish communities in impounded areas resemble those in main-channel border areas and tend to support low relative abundances of back-water-dependent species like the centrarchids (Gutreuter 1992). Creation of a 9-foot ( $2.7-\mathrm{m}$ ) navigation channel by combined use

No Interpool movement


Figure 12-21. Telemetry and mark/recapture studies used to assess the impact of navigation dams on fish movement between pools showed 87 percent of fish recaptured stayed in the pool where they were captured, 8 percent moved upriver, and 5 percent downriver. (Source: J oseph H. Wlosinski, USGS Environmental Management Technical Center, Onalaska, Wisconsin).

Figure 12-22. The migratory species skipjack hering catch-per-unit-effort + 1 (catch +1 ) reinvaded the uppermost pools of the Mississippi River during the Flood of 1993 when the Lock and Dam 19 gates were held wide open and the river attained free-flowing conditions that allowed upriver passage through this historic obstacle.

Many biotic
and abiotic factors affect yearclass reproduc-
tive success and in rivers, water-level fluctuations may be important.

## Skipjack Herring Catch-Per-Unit-Effort



Smallmouth buffalo in Illinois waters did not show a strong trend through time, but a strong year class apparently detected by LTRM P sampling in 1994 was observed after extreme flooding in 1993 (Bertrand 1995), as might be expected from their spawning requirements.

Long-term trends in Illinois white bass populations show generally increasing abundance, but the trend is not significant (Bertrand 1995). Large numbers of fish captured in 1993 were small fish that represented a strong cohort that declined during the subsequent winter of 1993-94 (Bertrand 1995).

Relative abundance of common carp decreased between 1976 and 1986 then remained stable until 1993; they have since increased twofold (Bertrand 1995). As reflected by LTRM P data, extreme flooding in 1993 coincided with increased abundance.

Trends in Backwater Fishes
Long-term data from Illinois (Bertrand 1995) show increasing bluegill populations in the 1980s and a slight drop in the 1990s (Figure 12-24). Bluegills were consistently more abundant in the pooled reaches than in the Unimpounded Reach. $M$ any biotic and abiotic factors affect year-class repro-

 Year

Figure 12-23.
Relative abundance of channel catfish from sites distributed along the Mississippi River bordering Illinois show an increasing trend in species abundance (catch-per-uniteffort number per hour) with large increases in the late 1980 s and early 1990s. Much of the increase was due to higher catches in the Unimpounded
Reach (Source: Bertrand 1995).
ductive success and in rivers, water-level fluctuations may be important (Welcomme 1979; Junk et al. 1989). For bluegills (and other centrarchids), water-level changes may strand nests or expose small fish to predators or, in winter, eliminate temperature refuges. The two most abundant cohorts were produced in low-flow years, when water levels were relatively stable (Bertrand 1995). Theiling et al. (1996) attribute changes within Pool 26 centrarchid abundance to the presence or absence of lower pool drawdowns, flow regimes, and plant abundance.

Since 1976, largemouth bass abundance has increased in Illinois waters of the pooled reaches of the $M$ ississippi River (Figure 12-25, following page), but they are missing from the Unimpounded Reach (Bertrand 1995). Spawning requirements are similar to bluegill and telemetry studies show that largemouth bass will abandon their nests because of rapidly falling water levels (Pitlo 1992). O verwintering habitat also may be important. Pitlo (1992) suggests


Figure 12-24. Relative abundance of bluegills (catch-per-unit-effort per hour) from the Mississippi River bordering Illinois has tended to increase since the early 1980s. Bluegills were consistently more abundant in pooled reaches than in the Unimpounded Reach where increased populations were detected later (Source: Bertrand 1995).


Figure 12-25. Longterm largemouth bass populations (catch-per-unit-effort per hour) have increased similar to bluegill but they are missing from the Unimpounded Reach (Source: Bertrand 1995).
that energy expended during fall flooding may consume energy reserves necessary for overwinter survival. Bertrand (1995) attributes some of the increase in largemouth bass populations in Illinois to the presence of stable water levels during winter.

Trends for black and white crappie populations seem to differ (Bertrand 1995). N umbers of black crappie have fluctuated without obvious trend since 1976 (Figure 12-26). W hite crappie, conversely, were abundant during 1976 and 1977, but their numbers decreased by almost two-thirds in 1978 and remain at less than one-half their abundance two years earlier (Bertrand 1995).

## Trends in Prey Species

There is no obvious trend in abundance of gizzard shad and emerald shiners in the M ississippi River bordering the State of Illinois (Bertrand 1995). Both species exhibit strong and weak year classes, but the mechanisms that control prey species are unknown.

Trends in Illinois River Fishes
The Illinois River has been surveyed at fixed sample sites since 1963 (Sparks and Lerczak 1993; Lerczak et al. 1994). Trends in fish populations differed in the upper, middle, and lower river reaches, with the Upper Illinois River showing the greatest improvements. In 1963, pollution tolerant habitat generalists (common carp and goldfish) represented over 60 percent of the catch (Sparks and Lerczak 1993). By 1992, goldfish and carp were relatively rare (about 5 to 10 percent of the catch) and many new species were encountered. Lower Illinois River reaches did not show the degree of degradation seen in the Upper Illinois River in 1963, but improvements in fish community diversity were detected in 1992. Abundance of common carp declined in both reaches and the number of important gamefish species increased. A batement of industrial and municipal pollution has resulted in many improvements in the upper river, but growth in fish populations in the middle and lower reaches continues to be limited by factors that relate to high sedimentation rates and the resultant habitat degradation (Sparks and Lerczak 1993).

## Discussion and Information Needs

The fishes of the Upper M ississippi River System are an exceptional biological resource, not just for the recreation and commerce they support but because this diverse fauna is so unique among temperate rivers. H uman activity has had an impact on fish communities in some river reaches, but overall fish biodiversity has been remarkably persistent and resilient in the face of multiple competing uses of the UM RS. Despite the long history and importance of the UM RS, little is known of the ecological processes that maintain this richness. The combination of research and monitoring efforts of the LTRM P partnership offers the opportunity to learn how to manage this national trea-
sure better. It also may provide a key to maintaining exceptional biological resources in the presence of other uses of large rivers.
$M$ any important but unanswered questions remain. For example, research indicates that relatively warm, calm water found in deeper backwaters may be crucial to the overwinter survival of many fish species (Bodensteiner and Sheehan 1988; Bodensteiner et al. 1990; Sheehan et al. 1990; Pitlo 1992; Gent et al. 1995). Spatial patterns in the abundance of backwaterdependent fishes such as the bluegill are consistent with the conjecture that backwaters limit these species in the open river. We need to know whether the availability of overwintering habitat is limiting, to what extent sediment deposition threatens this habitat, and what cost-effective management options might be developed. This task requires identifying critical features of habitat, including effects of water-level fluctuations. Developing that knowledge requires additional experimental manipulation of backwaters, monitoring, and analysis. Development of geographic information systems modeling tools and increased availability of bathymetric data are beneficial and needed to identify probable overwinter fish habitat (see Chapter 7).

A second concern is loss of the islands that create physical complexity in the floodplain. Islands are being eroded by wind and waves in the reservoir-like impounded portions of some navigation pools (see Chapter 4). One solution being tested in Pool 8 is the use of " seed islands," small, relatively inexpensive rock barriers constructed in areas of high sediment transport. Sediment should be naturally deposited behind these seed islands, allowing larger islands to build up and recreate physical complexity. Knowing how fishes respond to this increased physical complexity will help managers focus their management and restoration efforts.

A third issue is the need to better under-

## Black Crappie Abundance



0
 Year
stand the cumulative effects of navigation management on fishes. Studies that estimate the numbers of fish killed by entrainment through the propellers of commercial towboats are under way. H owever, we know little about how the present channel management infrastructure (i.e., dams, wing dikes, armored banks) has had a significant cumulative effect on fishes and their habitat. Routine navigation channel maintenance operations might be changed to provide both valuable navigation benefits and improved habitat availability.

A nother consideration is that little is known about the importance of the main channel as fish habitat, primarily because this area is difficult to sample effectively. Q uantitative trawling being used in ongoing studies of navigation effects holds great potential to change that. Initial results show higher-than-expected fish abundance and diversity ( 24 species), as well as a high occurrence of species of concern such as lake sturgeon. Gizzard shad, freshw ater drum, channel catfish, and smallmouth buffalo

Figure 12-26. Long-term black crappie populations have fluctuated without obvious trends (Source: Bertrand 1995).

We need to learn more about the major factors that influence reproduction and recruitment and those that influence the food web of the Upper Mississippi River.
have been caught throughout the length of the navigation channel in Pool 26. Species found in the impounded part of the navigation channel include blue catfish and bigmouth buffalo. Species found in the upper riverine portion of the navigation channel in Pool 26 include sturgeons, blue suckers, and shorthead redhorse, which are characteristic inhabitants of high-current velocities. The Illinois River main channel supports high abundances of fish, but lacks the high species diversity found in the M ississippi River. This preliminary information enhances the need to know more about use of the main navigation channel by fishes.

Finally, we know too little about the basic processes that fuel fish production. We need to learn more about the major factors that influence reproduction and recruitment and those that influence the food web of the Upper M ississippi River. For example, river ecologists have long held that the seasonal cycle of flooding is responsible for high biological productivity in floodplain rivers (Starrett and Friz 1965). M ost recently this idea was articulated as the " flood-pulse" concept of Junk et al. (1989). Although this concept is appealing, it encompasses too much to serve as a scientific hypothesis. Therefore it is important to identify and examine specific aspects of the flood-pulse idea because the system of dams in the Upper M ississippi River Basin alters the seasonal patterns of water-level fluctuation (Theiling 1996). Preliminary LTRM P studies suggest that certain fishes grew significantly faster during the warm-season Flood of 1993 than during years of typical spring water elevations (Bartels 1995). Further it was found that some fishes grew significantly more slowly during the low-flow year of 1989 (Bartels 1995). Long-term data from Illinois indicate that largemouth bass and bluegill can produce large year classes during low-flow, stablewater years, while channel catfish, smallmouth buffalo, white bass, black crappie, emerald
shiners, freshwater drum, and common carp produce large year classes in response to seasonal flooding (Bertrand 1995). Refinement of our knowledge of basic fish reproduction processes will be critical to the assessment of, for example, the costs and benefits of alternative water-level management strategies.

Steve G utreuter is a statistician at the U SG S U pper M ississippi Science Center, L a Crosse, Wisconsin. Charles Theiling is an aquatic ecologist at the USGS Environmental M anagement Technical Center, O nalaska, Wisconsin.
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